

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 January 2024 20:18
To: Planning Policy
Subject: RE: EREWASH CORE STRATEGY REVIEW – TARGETED CONSULTATION

Dear Steve Birkinshaw

Thank you for your email.

Please find below the completed form.

Kind regards
Jackie Craddock
[REDACTED]

Core Strategy Review Representation Form – Targeted Consultation

The consultation runs between Monday 15 January and 26 February 2024

For representations to be valid, a full name and address must be provided.

If you need to continue with more space for any of your answers, please attach further pages to this form.

All fields marked with an Asterix (*) must be completed.

Title(*): Mrs

First Name(*): Jackie Craddock

Job Title:

(where relevant) N/A

Organisation:

N/A

(where relevant)

Address(*)



Postcode(*):



Telephone number(*):



Email Address(*):



Agent's details:

(if applicable)

N/A

Include name, address,
contact number and email

To which part of the Core Strategy Review does this representation relate? (Delete as appropriate)(*)

Policies / Other (please specify) Green Belt usage

Please use the space below to tell us specifically where the representation relates to (a policy, the policies map or other text). Do not use this space to make your comments as this is required further down the form.(*)

Housing on the proposed green belt land north of Spondon - Spondon Wood.

Cross boundary communication between EBC, Derby City Council and the residents.

- What are considered to be the key strategic cross boundary matters of the Plan, how and when they were identified, who was involved in their identification and what actions have been taken to engage with them.
- Confirmation of the plan making authorities responsible for joint working on each of the different key strategic matters.
- Demonstration of how the Council has worked with neighbouring authorities and other prescribed bodies throughout the plan making process to ensure each of the identified strategic planning matters have been satisfactorily addressed.

The proposed conversion of Green Belt land to proposed housing, as set out below.

Green Belt

16. Paragraph 140 of the NPPF states that once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered where exceptional circumstances are fully evidenced and justified, through the preparation or updating of plans. Strategic policies should establish the need for changes to Green Belt boundaries, having regard to their intended permanence in the long term.

17. Paragraph 141 of the NPPF sets out the need to demonstrate an examination of all reasonable options for meeting identified needs for development. It states that before concluding that exceptional circumstances

exist to justify changes to Green Belt boundaries, the strategic policy-making authority should be able to demonstrate that it has examined fully all reasonable options for meeting its identified need for development. 18. If changes are necessary there is then a need to identify which sites would be most appropriate to meet the identified need, having regard to Green Belt harm and other relevant considerations. Both steps are required to demonstrate that exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated. Can the Council identify where this has been done and where the exceptional circumstances are set out? 19. Please also provide a document that shows every change to the Green Belt boundary proposed through the Plan.

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is Legally Compliant? (*) (Delete as appropriate)

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is sound?(*): (Delete as appropriate)	No
--	----

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review complies with the duty to cooperate? (*) (Delete as appropriate):	No
--	----

Please give details of why you consider the Erewash Core Strategy Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this space to set out your comments.

I don't consider the Erewash Core Strategy Review compliant or sound in relation to use of green belt land or planning communications with the local Derby City Council and residents, which this proposed development would impact.

I don't feel that EBC has justified or investigated the proposed housing development on the current green belt land north of Spondon - Spondon Wood, or can justify this space as an exceptional circumstance before demonstrating/exhausting all other opportunities. I feel there has been little investigation into the reason for the loss of green belt land and that this also sets a potential precedence for future abuse of green belt land in this area.

I'm aware that previous applications for building in the Erewash area on green belt land have been declined due to not being exceptional circumstances, so I don't understand why this is any different.

I don't consider that Erewash Council has co-operated with Derby City Council or the residents, as indicated by the need for EBC to email a large portion of the original respondents on the draft Core Strategy Review, which has been made following a request by the Inspector.

I feel that as the proposed land falls under EBC boundaries, that there has been little co-operation to discuss the increased burden on the already strained infrastructure this development would have on Spondon. Also all of the additional facilities and infrastructure needed would fall under DCC and I'm not aware of any communications on how EBC would financially support this proposed development on green belt land, that is not an exceptional circumstance.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I feel to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant/sound in relation to the proposed development on green belt land at the north of Spondon that it should be evidentially demonstrated that all other brown field and none green belt sites have been scrupulously investigated and considered. There needs to be an evidential investigation into why this green belt land is considered an exceptional circumstance.

There needs to be open communication between EBC, DCC and the residents on the proposal and an honest understanding by all of the currently burdened infrastructure of Spondon, which is unsustainable and needs substantial financial investment to improve, before any further additional developments are considered.

Please note in your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?(*) (Delete as appropriate)

No, I don't wish to participate in examination hearing sessions

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
