## **Core Strategy Review Representation Form – Targeted Consultation**

## The consultation runs between Monday 15 January and 26 February 2024

For representations to be valid, a full name and address must be provided. If you need to continue with more space for any of your answers, please attach further pages to this form.

All fields marked with an Asterix (\*) must be completed.

Title(*):	MR
First Name(*):	Steve Langton
Job Title:	N/A
(where relevant)	
Organisation:	N/A
(where relevant)	
Address(*)	
Postcode(*):	
Telephone number(*):	
Email Address(*):	
Agent's details: (if applicable)	N/A
Include name, address, contact number and email	

this representation relate? (Delete as appropriate)(*)	Policies / Policies Map / Other (please specify) <i>Policies and Policies Map</i>
Please use the space below to tell us specifically whether the policies map or other text). Do not use this space further down the form.(*)	
Strategic Policy 1.4 – Land North of Spondon	
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is Legally Compliant? (*) (Delete as appropriate)	Yes / No Unable to determine
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is sound?(*): (Delete as appropriate)	<del>Yes</del> / No
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review complies with the duty to cooperate?(*) (Delete as appropriate):	Yes / No Unable to determine
Please give details of why you consider the Erewas compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the d	uty to co-operate. Please be as precise as
possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please comments.	
or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please	e also use this space to set out your ake into account the vast number of
or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please comments. Erewash Borough Council (EBC) have failed to to comments and objections relating to Strategic F	a also use this space to set out your take into account the vast number of Policy 1.4 – Land North of Spondon onduct a full Green Belt review of all of should <u>not</u> be considered for
or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please comments. Erewash Borough Council (EBC) have failed to t comments and objections relating to Strategic F (SGA26). This is Green Belt land and EBC have failed to c Green Belt land within Erewash. Green belt land development until all derelict buildings within th	a also use this space to set out your take into account the vast number of Policy 1.4 – Land North of Spondon onduct a full Green Belt review of all a should <u>not</u> be considered for the borough have been brought back into <u>ountryside'</u> . Erewash has already <u>in</u> the borough for precisely this relopments extending their own towns

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Erewash Borough Council should conduct a full Green Belt review of all Green Belt land within Erewash and publish the detailed results of that review. Green belt land should not be considered for development until all derelict buildings within the borough have been brought back into use and 'Brownfierld' sites developed first.

*Erewash Borough Council should remove proposed development land that directly abuts against a neighbouring authority, i.e. Strategic Policy 1.3 – Acorn Way and Strategic Policy 1.4 – Land North of Spondon.* 

Both of these proposed development areas will lead to further pressure on Derby City Council services and resources <u>without</u> Derby City Council receiving the benefit of Council tax income and 'new homes bonus' to help provide the school places, Doctors, access road maintenance etc.

Please note in your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?(*) (Delete as appropriate)	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)		
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:			

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in

hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination

## Please use this space to continue any of your answers.