Planning Policy

From: Sent: To: Cc: Tracey 11 February 2024 11:25 Planning Policy

Subject:

RE: EREWASH CORE STRATEGY REVIEW - TARGETED CONSULTATION

Hi, Please see my comments on the form below. If this is not the correct way to communicate it, I would be grateful if you could let me know.RegardsTracey Shaw

Core Strategy Review Representation Form – Targeted Consultation

The consultation runs between Monday 15 January and 26 February 2024

For representations to be valid, a full name and address must be provided. If you need to continue with more space for any of your answers, please attach further pages to this form.

All fields marked with an Asterix (*) must be completed.

Title(*):	
	Mrs
First Name(*):	Tracey Shaw
Job Title:	
(where relevant)	
Organisation:	
(where relevant)	
Address(*	
Postcode(*	
Telephone number(*	
Email Address(*	
Agent's details	
(if applicable)	
Include name, address,	
contact number and email	

To which part of the Core Strategy Review does this	Policies / Policies Map / Other (please specify)
representation relate? (Delete as appropriate)(*)	
Please use the space below to tell us specifically where the	ne representation relates to (a policy the policies
map or other text). Do not use this space to make your co	
form.(*)	sinnents as this is required further down the
юпп.()	
The objection is to the site specific allocations, so it will b	e the allocation of Spondon Wood
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is Legally	Yes / No
Compliant? (*) (Delete as appropriate)	I am not qualified to comment
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is	Yes / No
sound?(*): (Delete as appropriate)	I am not qualified to comment
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review complies	Yes / No
with the duty to cooperate?(*) (Delete as appropriate):	I am not qualified to comment
with the duty to cooperate () (Delete as appropriate).	

Please give details of why you consider the Erewash Core Strategy Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this space to set out your comments.

I am not qualified to comment

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I am not qualified to comment other than I am worried about the infrastructure of spondon village and services available as detailed in my first objection.

Whilst I am all for houses being built, I feel that Spondon does not have the infrastructure to cope with much more traffic and population never mind it being planned for Green Belt Land.

Schools:

When my children attended the local schools in Spondon, I was lucky to be in the catchment and did not have any problems getting them in. But, I know people who did:

A family who live at the bottom end of Spondon and they had to take their children to Noel Baker

A family who live in Ockbrook, got one child in but could not get a younger sibling in a couple years later so ended up driving to two schools every day

Traffic:

Spondon is quite big which is great but for some families it is too big to let their children walk to school. If the housing application goes ahead, it is just a bit too far to expect people to walk their children to school. The parking is already horrendous, especially for the Primary schools.

This morning we had to drive up the A52 and go round the roundabout at the Long Eaton Roundabout in order to get on the other side of the A52 to get to work in Derby because of some water works. I feel that any extra traffic would cause every morning drive to work to be similar. I have read the proposed measures to be put in place which I do not see how they will work as there is just going to be so much more traffic.

Doctors:

I am without a car during the day and have recently had to have a taxi to take me to my doctors at St. Mark's Road and back home again because they could not see me in Spondon which takes me 10 minutes to walk to! We do

have a large elderly population and I fear that they will just let their illness go because it is horrendous trying to make the phone call to get through to the reception, never mind getting seen quickly and locally.

Buses:

It is an absolute disgrace that we do not have the Spondon flyer any more. (not your fault) I have seen the Ilkeston Flyer be full of people before it has reached Spondon village at 9am ish time in the morning. With this new housing, the bus will more than likely fill up at the new estate before even coming along Dale Road. People cannot get to work and students cannot get to college on time already without the extra population.

Parking:

Spondon Village precinct is full in the day time. I can only presume that people are parking here to jump on the bus to Derby. Because Spondon is already big, it is too far for people to walk into the village to catch the bus so will drive and park. I feel that this has an effect on the shops that we do have because if people cannot park locally they will stay in their car and go to Asda instead, therefore taking the trade away from Spondon Village.

Walking:

The traffic is already bad through Spondon as it is in most places now days but there is no encouragement to walk. Who wants to walk on Dale Road with all that traffic racing alongside you not to mention the air quality. Crossing Dale Road is treacherous too. I do try to Shop locally but crossing at Dale Road to get fresh veg from the shop there can take ages.

Thank you for reading

Tracey & Lee Shaw

Please note in your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing		
the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate	session(s)		
in examination hearing session(s)?(*) (Delete as			
appropriate)			
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing			
session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. If you wish to			
participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:			

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in

hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination