

Title(*):	Mrs
First Name(*):	Fran
Job Title: (where relevant)	
Organisation: (where relevant)	
Address(*)	
Postcode(*):	
Telephone number(*):	

Email Address(*):	
Agent's details: (if applicable) Include name, address, contact number and email	

To which part of the Core Strategy Review does this representation relate? (Delete as appropriate)(*)	Policies / Policies Map
---	-------------------------

Please use the space below to tell us specifically where the representation relates to (a policy, the policies map or other text). Do not use this space to make your comments as this is required further down the form.(*)

Strategic policy 1.4 – land north of Spondon

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is Legally Compliant? (*) (Delete as appropriate)	No....I don't think it is
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is sound?(*): (Delete as appropriate)	No
Do you consider the Core Strategy Review complies with the duty to cooperate?(*) (Delete as appropriate):	No I don't think so

Please give details of why you consider the Erewash Core Strategy Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this space to set out your comments.

EBC have not it seems taken any notice or taken into account at all the huge number of objections and comments made by the people of Spondon

The land which is proposed for development, SGA26, is listed as Green Belt land. I understood that Green Belt land shouldn't be considered for development, especially if there are any brownfield sites/derelict sites within the Erewash area which could be used instead. Are they considering this site because it affects Derby City Council re funding and jurisdiction and not themselves?

The proposed development, SGA26 clearly encroaches onto open countryside. I note that Erewash has rejected development plans within its own borough historically for this very reason .Are they considering it this time because it affects Derby City Council and not Erewash? As the development will fall under Derby City Council's jurisdiction this will put more pressure on the over pressured services of DCC as it will fall under their jurisdiction and funding, not EBC's.

The proposed development SG26 in effect forms a continuous extension to Spondon as it is built directly against it and abuts onto Pheasant Field Drive and development. When I have researched it seems that by building houses here , EBC will pocket the new homes bonus payment, but Derby City council will have to fund and provide doctors places, school places, access to roads and maintenance of these etc

If EBC are collecting the new homes bonus then the development should, it seems to me, abut a village or development in Erewash which already can provide the above facilities, paid for and provided by EBC such as Ockbrook or Kirk Hallam

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A full review of the Green Belt rulings and land within Erewash should be conducted and the detailed results publicly be published and easily accessible to the general public. All Brownfield/derelict land sites and buildings should be considered BEFORE Green Belt Land.

In addition the proposed development land which directly abuts a neighbouring authority should be removed by EBC ..such as the proposed development on Acorn Way and land north of Spondon, particularly if it is Green Belt and Green Wedge land. Both of these , if built upon, would lead to further pressure on Derby City Council services and schools, without the incentive and payment of the new homes bonus payment, which would be paid to Erewash, NOT Derby City council

assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?(*) (Delete as appropriate)	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination

Please use this space to continue any of your answers.

This email is security checked by Erewash Borough Council and subject to the disclaimer on webpage: <https://www.erewash.gov.uk/privacy.html>