Further points regarding appeal ref: APP/N1025/W/23/3319160. As a now retired member of E.B.C.

1. Outdoor activity particularly when it involves getting close to nature we are often told, is very beneficial to our health and well-being including of course ones physical and mental health. Some people like to cycle, some like to run or walk, while others prefer to take their outdoor recreation at a slower more sedentary pace.

Within the proposed site there is an angling facility known locally as Roughs Pond which is used regularly by people living in Ilkeston and the nearby village of Kirk Hallam. It is my opinion that this will be seriously compromised if the building of 196 houses goes ahead. We also have a canal nearby, and part of the proposed site is prone to flooding. Could this be considered please?

2. There is concern in the area that nearby Schools are at bursting point, so how would this be ameliorated, and where would any new Schools be built to educate children living in the proposed dwellings? Could this be considered please?

3. I would now like to flag up that in terms of the proposed site we have been here before albeit a long time ago.

Around 1988 a company called Moor-green Properties made an almost exact proposal. The issue subsequently went to a planning enquiry and it was ruled that the proposal did not go ahead, which of course it subsequently did not.

I know that opinions and indeed legislation may have changed, but may I respectfully request that this is also considered please?