

EREWASH BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report of the Development Manager to the
Planning Committee

18 October 2006

**APPENDIX A - DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS
SEPTEMBER 2006**

1 Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of the report is to inform members of the Best Value Performance Indicator results for the Development Control Section for the period noted.

2 Decisions Required

2.1 For information only

3 Recommendations

(a) None

4 Introduction / Background

The Development Control Best Value Performance Indicators for the specified period are set out below.

5 Issues

None arising.

6 Options

N/A.

7 Risk and Financial Implications

None arising.

8 Legal Implications

None arising.

9 Conclusions

None arising.

Background Papers

None

Appendices

Appendix 1 - detail of the report

Contact Officer

Richard Snow – Development Control and Enforcement Team Leader

APPENDIX 1

PERIOD – SEPTEMBER 2006

BVPI CODE	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	NATIONAL TARGET	YEAR FROM APR 2006	FIGURE FOR SEP 2006	APPLICATION NUMBERS (within/outside target)
BV109A	Percentage of major developments determined in 13 weeks	60%	83%	100%	1 / 0
BV109B	Percentage of minor developments determined in 8 weeks	65%	58%	48%	10 / 12
BV109C	Percentage of other developments determined in 8 weeks	80%	83%	75%	36 / 12
No longer a BVPI	Percentage of decisions delegated	90% (local target)	94%	89%	64 / 7

Bold figures indicate where target has been met or exceeded.

Explanatory Notes for figures:

Major Applications

Include residential developments of more than 10 dwellings and office, retail and industrial developments involving 1000 square metres of floorspace or where site area is 1 hectare or over.

Minor Applications

Includes residential developments up to 10 dwellings and office, retail and industrial developments up to 1000 square metres of floorspace or where site area is up to 1 hectare.

Other Applications

Include householder developments, changes of use, listed building and conservation consents and applications to display advertisements.

APPENDIX II

Comparison with other Local Authorities

Authority	Year ending 30 June 2006 (%)			April to June 2006 (%)		
	<u>BV109a</u> Major	<u>BV109b</u> Minor	<u>BV109c</u> Other	<u>BV109a</u> Major	<u>BV109b</u> Minor	<u>BV109c</u> Other
Amber Valley	67	70	83	58	63	83
Bolsover	77	79	93	85	85	92
Chesterfield	63	75	94	80	85	95
Erewash	68	81	92	67	70	84
High Peak	91	94	97	91	93	95
NE Derbyshire	84	82	89	88	78	85
South Derbyshire	60	72	88	84	77	87
Derbyshire Dales	77	69	86	60	64	84
Derby UA	66	71	87	75	66	85
Broxtowe	71	75	88	33	81	88
Gedling	84	89	93	75	91	91
Rushcliffe	78	87	95	83	88	96